

## Test circuit and method for hierarchical core

The present invention relates to a test circuit and method, and in particular, to a test circuit and method for testing system chips with one or more hierarchical cores.

5                   To minimize design time, re-usable cores are increasingly being utilized for the design of large and complex system chips (SOCs). Cores are pre-designed and pre-verified design modules, which are often supplied by different companies. Examples of such cores are embedded memories, analog blocks, CPUs, DSPs and user-defined logic blocks.

                  The testing of core-based SOC is best done in a core-based fashion. Usually,  
10       cores are deeply embedded in the SOC and not all cores are directly accessible from chip pins. Therefore, a typical core-based test infrastructure consists of (1) a test access mechanism (TAM) that allows access to the core-under-test from the SOC pins, and (2) a core test wrapper that allows the isolation of the core that is required to apply the tests.

                  The wrapper and TAM are sometimes referred to as *TestShell* and *TestRail*.  
15       Standardized but scalable wrapper architectures are known. However they do not standardize the TAM design and optimization, as it depends on many SOC-specific parameters. As there is a limited number of chip pins at the SOC boundary, one cannot afford to provide a separate TAM of sufficient width (wires) to every core in the SOC. Therefore, in practice, multiple cores share a common TAM. This constitutes a problem for test architecture design. To  
20       design a test architecture for a given SOC with a given number of test pins, one needs to determine the following:

- Number of individual TAMs and their widths such that the total number of pins used by the TAMs is less than or equal to the given number of test pins,
- The assignment of cores to TAMs, and
- 25       -               Wrapper design for each core.

                  The design of wrappers and TAMs have a large impact on the SOC test time, since every SOC test architecture has a corresponding optimum test schedule. Tools have been developed to assist in designing a complete test architecture consisting of wrappers and TAMs for a given SOC, such that the SOC test time is minimized.

However, all existing methods available for wrapper and TAM design assume one level of hierarchy (SOC and cores) in a SOC, whereby the SOC design consists of multiple levels of cores in the design. Hierarchy arises, for example, when an in-house designed core contains one or more in-house/external cores. As a result, modern SOC designs are not limited to only one level of hierarchy (SOC and cores), but instead consist of multiple levels of hierarchy.

Thus, since existing methods available for wrapper and TAM design assume no hierarchy in a SOC, all cores in the SOC are treated at the same level, even if there is a hierarchy among the cores. Due to this, optimum test schedules proposed by these methods allow testing of parent and child cores in parallel, which is not possible with their current wrapper architectures. Current wrapper architectures support at-least three modes: (1) Normal mode, (2) Inward-facing (In-test) mode, and (3) Outward-facing (Ex-test) mode. Existing wrappers can only be configured in one mode at a time. The testing of a parent core requires its wrapper to be configured in the "In-test" mode and the wrappers of its child core to be configured in the "Ex-test" mode. Hence, during the testing of a parent core, both TAMs, the one connected to the parent core itself and the one that is connected to the child core are used for testing the parent core. Therefore, solutions proposed by the known methods are not directly applicable in the real-life SOC. To prevent testing of the parent and child cores in parallel, the test schedules can be modified in such a way that only one of the two is tested at a time. Unfortunately, this leads to serialization of various tests and hence severely affects the SOC test time.

Therefore, the aim of the present invention is overcome the disadvantages mentioned above, and to provide a test wrapper architecture and method for testing SOC with one or more hierarchical cores, which enables test schedules to be optimized, so that a minimum SOC test time can be obtained.

According to a first aspect of the invention there is provided a test wrapper architecture for testing an electronic circuit having one or more hierarchical cores. The test wrapper architecture comprises: a first core having a wrapper input cell and a wrapper output cell, the wrapper input cell and wrapper output cell being configured to receive a primary input signal and a test input signal for the first core, and to output a primary output signal and a test output signal for the first core; a second core having a wrapper input cell and a wrapper output cell, the wrapper input cell and wrapper output cell being configured to receive a

primary input signal (PI) and a test input signal (CTI) for the second core, and to output a primary output signal (PO) and a test output signal (CTI) for the second core; wherein the wrapper input cell and the wrapper output cell of the second core are further adapted to receive a test input signal (PTI) from the first core, and to output a test output signal (PTO) to the first core, thereby enabling the first core and the second core to be tested in parallel.

According to another aspect of the invention, there is provided a wrapper cell for a test architecture used for testing an electronic circuit having one or more hierarchical cores, the wrapper cell comprising: a first input (PI) for receiving a primary data signal; a second input (CTI) for receiving a test data signal; a first output (PO) for outputting a primary data signal; a second output (CTO) for outputting a test data signal; wherein the wrapper cell further comprises a third input (PTI) for receiving a test input signal from another core, and a third output (PTO) for outputting a test output signal to the other core.

According to a further aspect of the invention there is provided a method of testing an electronic circuit having one or more hierarchical cores, the method comprising the steps of:

- in a first core having a wrapper input cell and a wrapper output cell, configuring the wrapper input cell and wrapper output cell to receive a primary input signal and a test input signal for the first core, and to output a primary output signal and a test output signal for the first core;
- in a second core having a wrapper input cell and a wrapper output cell, configuring the wrapper input cell and wrapper output cell to receive a primary input signal (PI) and a test input signal (CTI) for the second core, and to output a primary output signal (PO) and a test output signal (CTI) for the second core; and
- configuring the wrapper input cell and the wrapper output cell of the second core to receive a test input signal (PTI) from the first core, and to output a test output signal (PTO) to the first core, thereby enabling the first core and the second core to be tested in parallel.

According to a further aspect of the invention, there is provided an integrated circuit comprising a test wrapper architecture or a wrapper cell as defined in the claims.

According to a further aspect of the invention, there is provided an automatic test equipment comprising means for operating a test wrapper architecture or a wrapper cell as defined in the claims.

The invention has the advantage of enabling hierarchical cores to be tested in parallel, while minimizing the SOC test time.

For a better understanding of the invention, and to show more clearly how it may be carried into effect, reference will now be made, by way of example only, to the following drawings in which:

Figure 1 shows a typical SOC having hierarchical cores;

Figure 2 shows a typical test architecture for testing the SOC of Figure 1;

Figure 3 shows an example test schedule for the SOC of Figure 1 assuming no hierarchy among the cores;

Figure 4 shows a modified test schedule for the SOC of Figure 1 assuming hierarchical cores;

Figure 5 shows a conventional wrapper architecture with its wrapper input and output cells;

Figure 6 shows a wrapper having hierarchical cores;

Figure 7 illustrates the testing of the parent core of Figure 6, and how test stimuli are applied to the core;

Figure 8 shows the testing of the parent core of Figure 6, and how the test responses are observed;

Figure 9 shows the testing of the child core of Figure 6, and how test stimuli are applied to its scan chains;

Figure 10 shows the testing of the child core of Figure 6, and how test responses are observed;

Figure 11 shows a test architecture for testing hierarchical cores according to the present invention;

Figure 12a shows a conceptual view of a conventional wrapper cell;

Figure 12b shows a conceptual view of a wrapper cell according to the present invention;

Figure 13 shows a wrapper input cell according to the present invention;

Figure 14 shows a wrapper output cell according to the present invention;

Figures 15a to 15d show a wrapper input cell in various modes of operation;

Figures 16a to 16d show a wrapper output cell in various modes of operation;

Figure 17 shows a wrapper output cell according to a further aspect of the invention.

Figures 18a and 18b show the wrapper architecture of the present invention in the parent In-test and child In-test modes, respectively; and

Figure 19 shows the preferred ordering of various elements in a TAM connected to the parent core.

5

Figure 1 shows a typical SOC 1 comprising a number of cores 3. For illustration purposes the SOC is shown as having nine cores A to I, out of which Cores A and Core B are hierarchical cores. Core A contains a child core, Core H, while Core B contains a child core, Core I.

10

Figure 2 shows an example test architecture for the SOC shown in Figure 1, and contains three TAMs  $5_1$  to  $5_3$  having widths  $w_1$ ,  $w_2$ , and  $w_3$ , respectively. The first TAM  $5_1$  is used to test cores C, D and F, the second TAM  $5_2$  used to test cores A, I and E, while the third TAM  $5_3$  is used to test cores B, H and G.

15

Figure 3 shows the optimal test schedule for the test architecture shown in Figure 2, on the assumption that no hierarchy exists, i.e. a flat core structure. The horizontal axis shows the test time, while the vertical axis shows the TAM width. Since all TAMs  $5_1$  to  $5_3$  in the architecture are assumed to be tested in parallel, the total test time for the SOC is determined by the test time of the TAM having the longest test time, i.e. time "T" corresponding to the test time for TAM  $5_3$  in the example. It is noted that the test time shown in Figure 3 is the time required to test the circuitry inside the core (i.e. its wrapper in the In-test mode). Thus, it can be seen from Figure 3 that, if in reality all cores are at the same level, an efficient test completion time can be obtained. However, as shown in Figure 1, core A and core B contain core H and core I, respectively, which means that the cores A and H, and cores B and I cannot in fact be tested in parallel as shown in Figure 3. Therefore, the test schedule shown in Figure 3 is no longer valid.

20

25

30

Figure 4 shows a modified test schedule considering the hierarchical nature of cores A and B. When parent core A is being test (i.e. in the In-test mode), the child core H is placed in the Ex-test mode. Similarly, when the parent core B is being tested, the child core I is placed in the Ex-test mode. Since the child cores H and I need to be placed in the Ex-test mode when testing the parent cores A and B, this means that the child cores H and I cannot be placed in the In-test mode, and hence cannot be tested in parallel with the parent cores A and B. In other words, the parent and child cores cannot be tested in parallel due to the fact

that the wrappers only allow one test mode at a time, which results in a modified test schedule which is substantially longer than the original test time.

Figure 5, shows a conventional wrapper architecture 50 for an example core 51 with two scan chains 53, 55, three functional input terminals A [0:2], and two functional output terminals Z [0:1]. In addition to the parallel ports shown in Figure 5, it is noted that the wrapper 50 may comprise control circuitry and connections through a one-bit serial port, but these have been omitted for clarity.

The core 51 is connected to a three bit-wide TAM called TAM [0:2]. In the wrapper architecture, each functional input terminal A[0:2] is connected to a wrapper input cell 57<sub>0</sub>, 57<sub>1</sub>, 57<sub>2</sub>, respectively, while each functional output terminal Z[0:1] is connected to a wrapper output cell 59<sub>0</sub>, 59<sub>1</sub>, respectively.

Each wrapper input cell 57 comprises first and second multiplexers, m1, m2 and a memory element, for example a flip-flop 60<sub>i</sub>, while each wrapper output cell comprises first and second multiplexers m3, m4 and a memory element, such as a flip-flop 60<sub>o</sub>.

Table 1 shows the multiplexer settings for the various modes supported by the wrapper architecture. As scan tests consist of two phases, i.e., the shift and normal phases, the table lists the settings for these phases separately.

| Wrapper Mode   | Wrapper Input Cell |    | Wrapper Output Cell |    |
|----------------|--------------------|----|---------------------|----|
|                | m1                 | m2 | m3                  | m4 |
| Functional     | X                  | 1  | X                   | 1  |
| In-test shift  | 1                  | X  | 1                   | X  |
| In-test normal | X                  | 0  | 0                   | 1  |
| Ex test shift  | 1                  | X  | 1                   | X  |
| Ex test normal | 0                  | 1  | X                   | 0  |

Table 1:

In the functional mode, a wrapper input cell is in the transparent mode and the core is connected to its surrounding through its functional terminals A[0:2] and Z[0:1]. In other words, the wrapper input cell has the multiplexer m2 selected, such that an input signal PI from the chip is passed to the output PO for the core. Likewise, in the functional mode, the wrapper output cell is configured such that multiplexer m4 is selected, thus causing the input signal PI from the core to be passed to the output PO for the chip.

The In-test mode is used to test the circuitry inside the core itself. Therefore, the wrapper cells are configured in such a way, that test stimuli can be applied at the input terminals of the core and test responses observed from the output terminals of the core. The Ex-test mode is used to test the circuitry outside the core, i.e., the logic and interconnects  
5 between the cores. In this mode, the input terminals of a core are configured in such a way that they can be used to capture the test responses from the circuitry behind the input terminals of the core. Similarly, the output terminals are configured in such a way that they can be used to apply test stimuli to the circuitry in front of the output terminals of the core.

From Table 1, it can be seen that a wrapper input/output cell can only be used  
10 either to apply or to capture data, but not carry out both aspects at the same time. Therefore, the wrapper architecture according to the prior art does not allow testing of a parent and child core in parallel, since testing of a parent core requires a child core to be in Ex-test mode, while testing of the child core requires the wrapper cell to be in the In-test mode. This is because the testing of a parent core, apart from accessing its own elements, must also capture  
15 responses in the wrapper input cells and apply test stimuli to the wrapper output cells of its child core.

To further understand why the parent and child cores cannot be tested in parallel with a conventional wrapper design, reference will now be made to Figures 6 to 10. Figure 6 shows a parent core A together with its child core B. The TAM connected to the  
20 parent core A is referred to as PTAM [0:2], while the TAM connected to the child core is referred to as CTAM [0:2].

Referring to Figure 7, the components used to test the parent core A are shown in boundary boxes, 70, 71 and 72. In order to test the parent core A in the In-test mode, test stimuli need to be applied to the scan chains 70 of the parent core, and to its wrapper input  
25 cells 71 (marked as PA[0:1]). In addition, test stimuli need to be applied to the output wrapper cells 72 of the child core B (marked as Z[0:1]), this being required in order to test the circuitry after the child wrapper output cells 72 of the child core B (the circuitry being shown as logic cloud 73).

Similarly, referring to Figure 8, the test responses from the scan chains 70 of  
30 the parent core A need to be observed, via the wrapper out cells 74 of the parent core A (marked as PZ[0:1]). In addition, the test responses from the circuitry before the child wrapper input cells 75 need to be observed (the circuitry being shown as logic cloud 76), the child wrapper input cells marked as A[0:2].

Referring to Figure 9, in order to test the child core B in the In-test mode, test stimuli need to be applied to the scan chains 76 of the child core B, and to its wrapper input cells 75 (marked as A[0:2]) only. In addition, as shown in Figure 10, test responses need to be observed from the scan chains 76 of the child core B and its wrapper output cells 72 (marked as Z[0:1]) only.

Thus, it can be seen from Figures 6 to 10 that the testing of the parent core A requires the wrapper of the parent core A to be configured in the In-test mode and the wrapper of the child core B to be configured in the Ex-test mode.

However, testing of the child core B requires the wrapper cells of the child core to be configured in the In-test mode. There is therefore a conflict in that testing of the child core is not possible while the parent core is being tested, since the wrapper architecture only allows a wrapper to be configured in one mode at a time. Furthermore, while testing a parent core, both TAMs, the one connected to the parent core and the other connected to the child core are used to transport test data for a parent core. Therefore, the TAM connected to the child core cannot also be used for testing another individual core connected to it.

Therefore, according to the invention, there is provided a wrapper architecture that is adapted to allow a core to be in both In-test and Ex-test modes at the same time, so that the parent and child cores can be tested in parallel. Therefore, with the invention, not only is it possible to obtain optimal test time for flat SOCs but also for hierarchical SOCs.

Figure 11 shows a wrapper architecture according to the present invention, having a parent core A and a child core B. As with the conventional wrapper architecture shown in Figure 6, the parent core comprises scan chains 70, wrapper input cells 71, wrapper output cells 74 and a parent TAM, PTAM [0:2]. Likewise, the child core comprises scan chains 76, wrapper input cells 75 and wrapper output cells 72, and is connected to a child TAM, CTAM [0:2]. However, in accordance with the invention, each wrapper input cell 75 and each wrapper output cell 72 of the child core is adapted to be connected to the parent TAM, PTAM, in addition to being connected to the child TAM, CTAM.

Figure 12a shows a conceptual view of the conventional wrapper cell of Figure 6, while Figure 12b shows the conceptual view of the wrapper cell 75, 72 of Figure 11 in accordance with the present invention. In the conventional wrapper cell of Figure 12a, PI and TI represent the primary and test inputs to the wrapper cell respectively, while PO and TO represent the primary and test outputs from the wrapper cell, respectively. When the wrapper cell of Figure 12a is used as an input wrapper cell, PI and TI are connected to receive data from the chip and TAM, respectively, while PO and TO output data to the core



and "Scan out", respectively. On the other hand, when the wrapper cell of Figure 12a is used as an output wrapper cell, PI and TI are connected to receive data from the core and TAM, respectively, while PO and TO output data to the chip and "Scan out", respectively.

In the wrapper cell of Figure 12b, however, CTI and CTO represent test input and output signals corresponding to the child core TAM, while PTI and PTO represent test input and output signals for the parent core TAM.

A more detailed illustration of the wrapper cell 12b is shown in Figures 13 and 14. Figure 13 shows a wrapper input cell 75 according to a preferred embodiment of the present invention. Similar to the conventional wrapper input cell shown in Figure 5, the wrapper input cell 75 comprises first and second multiplexers 132, 131 and a memory element, such as a flip-flop 133. These elements are configured to receive the primary data and test signals PI and CTI, respectively, and to output the primary data and test signals PO and CTO, respectively. PI and CTI are connected to receive data from the parent core and child TAM, respectively, while PO and CTO are connected to output data to the child core and Scan out for CTAM, respectively. However, the wrapper input cell 75 further comprises a third multiplexer 134 and a second flip-flop 135. The third multiplexer 134 receives the primary input PI and an additional input PTI. PTI is connected to receive data from the TAM of the parent core, PTAM. The output of multiplexer 134 is connected to the flip-flop 135, which in turn provides an additional output signal PTO from the wrapper input cell 75. The additional output signal PTO is connected to the Scan out of the parent TAM, PTAM.

Figure 14 shows a wrapper output cell 72 according to a preferred embodiment of the present invention. Similar to the conventional wrapper output cell shown in Figure 5, the wrapper output cell 72 comprises first and second multiplexers 142, 141 and a memory element, such as a flip-flop 143. These elements are configured to receive the primary data and test signals PI and CTI and to output the primary data and test signals PO and CTO. PI and CTI are connected to receive data from the child core and child TAM, respectively, while PO and CTO are connected to output data to the parent core and Scan out for child TAM (CTAM), respectively. However, the wrapper output cell 72 further comprises a third multiplexer 144 and a second flip-flop 145. The third multiplexer 144 receives the primary input PI and an additional input PTI. PTI is connected to receive data from the TAM of the parent core, PTAM. The output of multiplexer 144 is connected to the flip-flop 145, which in turn provides an additional output signal PTO from the wrapper output cell 72. The additional output signal PTO is connected to the Scan out of the parent TAM, PTAM.

The wrapper input and output cells described above allow the child core to be operated in the In-test and Ex-test modes in parallel. Table 2 below shows the multiplexer settings for the various modes supported by the wrapper architecture.

| Wrapper mode          | Wrapper Input Cell |    |    | Wrapper Output Cell |    |    |
|-----------------------|--------------------|----|----|---------------------|----|----|
|                       | m0                 | m1 | m2 | m3                  | m4 | m5 |
| Functional            | X                  | X  | 1  | X                   | X  | 1  |
| Child In-test shift   | X                  | 1  | X  | X                   | 1  | X  |
| Child In-test normal  | X                  | 0  | 0  | X                   | 0  | X  |
| Parent In-test shift  | 0                  | X  | X  | 0                   | X  | X  |
| Parent In-test normal | 1                  | X  | X  | X                   | X  | 0  |

Table 2:

From Table 2, it can be seen that the multiplexer settings for both the child core and the parent core in the In-test modes do not conflict with each other. Therefore, with this architecture, the testing of hierarchical cores can be done in parallel.

Figures 15a to 15d illustrate the functioning of a wrapper input cell 75 in accordance with the settings shown in Table 2. Figure 15a shows the wrapper input cell 75 when operating in the "In-test shift" mode. As can be seen, the wrapper input cell is configured such that data received from the child core TAM, CTAM, is passed to the Scan out for the child core TAM, CTAM, thus allowing test stimuli to be shifted.

In Figure 15b, the wrapper input cell 75 is shown in the "In-test normal" mode of operation, in which the child core is being tested. In this mode, the test stimuli stored in the flip-flop 133 following the shift mode is applied to the output PO of the wrapper input cell 72, thereby testing the child core.

In Figure 15c, the wrapper input cell 75 is shown in the "Ex-test shift" mode of operation. In this mode, input data PTI received from the parent TAM, PTAM is passed to the Scan out for the parent TAM, PTAM.

In Figure 15d, the wrapper input cell 75 is shown in the "Ex-test normal" mode of operation. In this mode, the input data received from the parent core on input PI is stored in the flip-flop 135.

From the above it can be seen that the wrapper input cell 75 according to the present invention can operate in the In-test and Ex-test modes in parallel without conflict, i.e.

the modes shown in Figures 15b and 15d in parallel, thereby allowing the parent and child cores to be tested in parallel.

Figures 16a to 16d illustrate the functioning of a wrapper output cell 72 in accordance with the settings shown in Table 2. Figure 16a shows the wrapper output cell 72 when operating in the "In-test shift" mode. As can be seen, the wrapper output cell is configured such that data received from the child TAM on input CTI is passed via the flip-flop 143 to the output CTO which is connected to the Scan out for the child core TAM, thus allowing test stimuli to be shifted.

In Figure 16b, the wrapper output cell 72 is shown in the "In-test normal" mode of operation, in which the child core is being tested. In this mode, the test response data observed from the child core is received on input PI and stored in the flip-flop 143.

In Figure 16c, the wrapper output cell 72 is shown in the "Ex-test shift" mode of operation. In this mode, test data received from the parent TAM, PTAM on input PTI is passed via flip-flop 145 to the Scan out for the parent TAM, PTAM.

In Figure 16d, the wrapper output cell 72 is shown in the "Ex-test normal" mode of operation. In this mode, test data previously stored in flip-flop 145 is passed via output PO to the parent core.

From the above it can be seen that the wrapper output cell 72 according to the present invention can operate in the In-test and Ex-test modes in parallel without conflict, i.e. the modes shown in Figures 16b and 16d in parallel, thereby allowing the parent and child cores to be tested in parallel.

The wrapper input cell described above is fully testable. However, in the wrapper output cell, the output of multiplexer 142 (m5) is not testable. In order to make this multiplexer testable, Figure 17 shows a wrapper output cell according to a further aspect of the invention, in which an additional multiplexer 146 is added to the architecture. The multiplexer 146 can be set to "0" in the parent In-test normal mode, and to "1" for the remainder of the modes. The inclusion of the additional multiplexer 146 in the wrapper output cell 72 means that the multiplexer 142, and hence the entire wrapper output cell 72 is fully testable.

Figures 18a and 18b show the wrapper architecture for the hierarchical core using the wrapper cells of the present invention. Figure 18a shows the parent core in the In-test mode, while Figure 18b shows the child core in the In-test mode. As can be seen from these Figures, both modes can co-exist in parallel, without being in conflict with one another.

To minimize the test time for the parent core, Figure 19 shows the preferred ordering for the wrapper cells and the scan chains in the TAM connected to the parent core. As discussed above, in order to test the parent core, test stimuli need to be applied to the scan chains of the parent core. Similarly, test responses need to be observed from the scan chains and wrapper output cells of the parent core, and also from the wrapper input cells of its child core.

As the scan chains take part in both applying and observing of test data, they should preferably be in the middle of a TAM. The wrapper input cells for the parent core together with the wrapper output cells for the child core should be in front of a TAM. Likewise, the wrapper output cells for the parent core and the wrapper input cells for the child core should be in the end of a TAM.

The invention described above provides an improved test architecture, since it enables the parent and child cores of a hierarchical core to be tested in parallel, thereby minimizing the test schedule.

It will be appreciated by a person skilled in the art that many of the features mentioned in the preferred embodiment can be modified without departing from the scope of the invention as defined in the claims. For example, the number of scan chains, the TAM widths, the number of input/output cells can all vary depending upon a particular application, and the invention is thereby not limited to the specific example described in the preferred embodiment.

In addition, although the preferred embodiment discloses the use of multiplexers and flip-flops in the wrapper cells, other switching and memory devices providing the same function could also be used in accordance with the invention.

Furthermore, although the wrapper architectures have been shown as having parallel ports, it is noted that the wrapper architectures may comprise control circuitry and connections through a one-bit serial port in addition, or as an alternative, to the parallel ports.

It should be noted that the above-mentioned embodiments illustrate rather than limit the invention, and that those skilled in the art will be able to design many alternative embodiments without departing from the scope of the appended claims. The word 'comprising' does not exclude the presence of elements or steps other than those listed in a claim.